Hertfordshire County Council support for undertaking evaluations

Jo Mackenzie

Public Health Analyst

David Conrad

Consultant in Public Health (Evidence & Intelligence)





PLANNING THE EVALUATION





Planning the evaluation

- Is this included in the project plan?
- What is the budget for the evaluation?
- Who will manage the evaluation?
- Who will conduct the evaluation?
 - What skills do they need?





Accessing support for your evaluation

- New one-stop website in development: www.hertshealthevidence.org
- Advice on conducting evaluation
- Registration scheme for local evaluations
- Online library of completed evaluation reports





Completing the evaluation registration form

- Title of project
- Primarily, what type of intervention is this?
 - Prevention
 - Treatment
 - Both
- Aim (overarching purpose)
- Objectives





- About your intervention/service:
 - What is delivered?
 - To whom?
 - Where? (location and type of setting)
 - How?
 - By whom?
 - Duration (for the participants)





- Length of project
 - Fixed term
 - Ongoing
- Scale (£)
- How many participants in total is the service/intervention intended to reach?





- What is the target population for the intervention?
 - Sex
 - Ethnicity
 - Age

 How do you recruit participants to the intervention (referral mechanism?)





- Who will be leading the evaluation?
- Who will be collecting the data?
- Who will be doing the data analysis?
- How will you recruit participants to the evaluation?
- Will there be a comparator; eg control group or other intervention?





- What type of data will be collected?
 - Quantitative
 - Qualitative
 - Both
- How will the data be collected?
- At what points will data be collected?
- What data will be collected?
 - Baseline (validated scales?)
 - During intervention
 - Post intervention follow up





- How will the data be analysed?
 - quantitative (what statistical methods?)
 - qualitative (what method eg content analysis?)

Timescales of the evaluation

Main contact for evaluation





EVALUATION DETAILS





Aims and objectives

Aims

- Describes what the project/intervention hopes to achieve
- The planned effects
- Very general
- Need to be testable
- Normally one aim

Objectives

- Very specific
- Must be measureable
- State the outcome(s) to be achieved
- Give the criteria for deciding the outcome(s) has been achieved
- The target population
- 3 5 objectives are recommended





Objectives versus activities

Objectives and activities are often confused

Objectives state what we want to achieve

Activities state what we will do to meet out objective(s)





The evaluation question

 The evaluation question will determine the approach and design of all the data collection activities.

- Question examples include:
 - Has the health and/or wellbeing of the target group improved?
 - Has the expected percentage of the target group adopted the change in health behaviour?





Recruiting participants

- Will you collect data from all participants?
- How will you recruit them?
- How many responses do you need?
 - Quantitative for a generalisable sample of your intervention population
 - Qualitative to cover a wide range of participant backgrounds and experiences
- Ask more people than you need if possible





Ethics and consent

- What are the ethical considerations for the evaluation?
 - How will you protect the anonymity of the participants?
 - Do you have any vulnerable participants?
 - Could your data collection process produce any adverse affects?
 - Consider the questions asked
 - What is the procedure if an issue arises?
 - Who should participants contact if they have an issue?





Participant Consent

- When collecting data from participants you must get their consent.
 - You need to let them know that
 - The information they give will be kept anonymous
 - The data will be stored securely
 - What you plan to use the data for
 - That they may withdraw consent at any point before, during or after the intervention. Let them know:
 - The process for withdrawing consent.
 - Who to contact if they have any issues
 - Participants have the right to say no!





Data confidentiality

- Need to carry out a Privacy Impact Assessment
 - Participants personal data is sensitive data.
 - Make this anonymous as soon as practically possible.
 - Always store in a secure location
- Check your organisation's data protection rules





Types of data

- Quantitative
 - Required to measure the outcomes
- Qualitative
 - Can be used as part of the monitoring process
 - Captures the experiences of the participants
- Case studies
 - Participant stories which highlight the impact of the intervention





Qualitative data

- Uses words as data collected by interviews and focus groups
- Analysed using specific techniques including:
 - Content analysis
 - Thematic analysis
- Looks for meaning in data
- Takes longer than quantitative methods
- It is not a collection of quotes from the bottom of questionnaires!





Case Studies

- Focus is a unit of analysis
 - Individual, group, community, organisation
- Makes use of qualitative and quantitative data
- In-depth and focused exploration
- Not a research method in itself, need to select
 - Data collection method
 - Method of analysis





Outcome evaluation data

- This will relate to your question, aims and objectives
- Selecting measures
 - (S)WEMWBS Wellbeing
 - GAD7 Anxiety
 - PHQ9 Depression
 - SF36 Quality of Life
 - SF12 Quality of Life (shortest version)





Monitoring data

- Number of people recruited onto the intervention
- Attendance rates
- Demographic information
 - Age
 - Sex
 - Disability
 - Ethnicity
 - Socio-economic status
 - Disability
- Participant feedback about the intervention





When to collect data

If we do not collect this data before starting our intervention we have lost the chance to evaluate properly!

Baseline

• Pre-intervention
• Demographics

Post intervention
• Immediate

Post intervention
• Follow up (e.g. 3 months after end of intervention)

This is a basic example, you can have more data collection points. This is recommended if you are garrying out a large intervention



How to collect data

- Methods
 - Interviews
 - Face to face
 - Telephone
 - Online surveys
 - Postal survey
 - In person beginning and end of course questionnaires
 - Focus groups
- Consider how you are going to get the best response rate
 - Make it as easy as possible for them!
 - Keep the response time to a minimum





Collating the data

Each participant is given a unique ID

Clearly labelled columns

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	K	L	M
	Participant	Pre or post								Total Observed	Comparison to	Converted
	ID	intervention	Question 1	Question 2	Question 3	Question 4	Question 5	Question 6	Question 7	Score	National Average	score
2	101	Pre	2	3	4	3	5	4	3	24	Below Average	21.54
3	102	Pre	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	18	Poor	17.43
4	103 🗸	Pre	3	4	3	2	4	3	3	22	Below Average	19.98
5	104	Pre	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	19	Poor	17.98
6	105	Pre	2	3	4	3	4	4	4	24	Below Average	21.54
7	106	Pre	2	2	3	3	2	4	5	21	Poor	19.25
8	107	Pre	3	1	3	3	2	3	4	19	Poor	17.98
9	108	Pre	3	2	4	3	5	2	3	22	Below Average	19.98
10	109	Pre	2	3	2	1	3	3	3	17	Poor	16.88
11	110	Pre	3	3	4	2	4	3	4	23	Below Average	20.73
12	101	Post	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	25	Average	22.35
13	102	Post	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	25	Average	22.35
14	103	Post	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	25	Average	22.35
15	104	Post	2	3	4	4	4	4	5	26	Average	23.21
16	105	Post	3	4	5	4	4	5	4	29	Excellent	26.02
17	106	Post	3	2	3	3	3	3	4	21	Poor	19.25
18	107	Post	4	2	4 🔥	4	4	4	4	26	Average	23.21
19	108	Post	4	4	5 '\`	4	5	3	3	28	Good	25.03
20	109	Post	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	22	Below Average	19.98
21	110	Post	4	3	4	4	5	3	4	27	Good	24.11
22	101	Follow up	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	24	Below Average	21.54
22 23 24 25 26	102	Follow up	4	4	3	5	4	3	4	27	Good	24.11
24	103	Follow up	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	25	Average	22.35
25	104	Follow up	2	3	4	4	3	4	5	25	Average	22.35
26	105	Follow up	3	4	5	4	4	4	4	28	Good	25.03
27	106	Follow up	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	24	Below Average	21.54
28	107	Follow up	4	2	4	4	3	4	4	25	Average	22.35
29	108	Follow up	4	4	5	4	5	3	3	28	Good	25.03
30	109	Follow up	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	24	Below Average	21.54
31	110	Follow up	5	3	4	4	5	3	4	28	Good	25.03
32		7										
22		\										

Analysing the data

Participant	Pre or post								Total Observed	Comparison to	Converted
D	intervention	Question 1	Question 2	Question 3	Question 4	Question 5	Question 6	Question 7	Score	National Average	score
101	Pre	2	3	4	3	5	4	3	24	Below Average	21.54
102	Pre	3	2	2	2	3	3	3	18	Poor	17.43
103	Pre	3	4	3	2	4	3	3	22	Below Average	19.98
104	Pre	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	19	Poor	17.98
105	Pre	2	3	4	3	4	4	4	24	Below Average	21.54
106	Pre	2	2	3	3	2	4	5	21	Poor	19.25
107	Pre	3	1	3	3	2	3	4	19	Poor	17.98
108	Pre	3	2	4	3	5	2	3	22	Below Average	19.98
109	Pre	2	3	2	1	3	3	3	17	Poor	16.88
110	Pre	3	3	4	2	4	3	4	23	Below Average	20.73
101	Post	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	25	Average	22.35
102	Post	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	25	Average	22.35
103	Post	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	25 /	Average	22.35
104	Post	2	3	4	4	4	4	5	26	Average	23.21
105	Post	3	4	5	4	4	5	4	29	Excellent	26.02
									. /	\	
										\	

Summary of intervention results

	Average observed	Comparison to		
	score	national average		
Pre Intervention	21	Poor		
Post Intervention	25	Average		
Follow Up	26	Average		

	# Participants	Participation rate
Pre Intervention	10	N/A
Post Intervention	10	100.0%
Follow Up	10	100.0%

Total the scores for each questionnaire

- Add up the total scores for each point in time when the data was collect
- 2. Count the number of participants for each point in time
- 1. Total pre intervention score = 209
- 2. Divide by 10 participants
- 3. Pre Intervention score = 21

Reporting the findings

- Write up results into an evaluation report
 - Title
 - Executive Summary write last
 - Introduction/background
 - Rationale
 - Description of the intervention
 - Evaluation method
 - Results
 - Discussion
 - Conclusion and recommendations





References and Resources

- Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology, Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101
- Elo, S. & Kyngäs, H. (2008) The qualitative content analysis process. Journal of Advanced Nursing 62(1), 107–115
- www.hertshealthevidence.org



